

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>2:06CR-218-MHT</b>
	)	
<b>GEORGE HOEY MORRIS</b>	)	
<b>aka JOHNNY RAY FORTUNE</b>	)	

**GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

Comes now the United States of America, by and through Leura Garrett Canary, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama, and respectfully requests that the following Jury Instructions be given to the jury in the above case. All of the instructions are 11th Circuit Pattern Instructions unless otherwise noted.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of October, 2006.

LEURA GARRETT CANARY  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Susan R. Redmond  
SUSAN R. REDMOND  
Assistant United States Attorney  
One Court Square, Suite 201  
Montgomery, Alabama 36104  
Telephone: (334) 223-7280  
Fax: (334) 223-7135  
[susan.redmond@usdoj.gov](mailto:susan.redmond@usdoj.gov)

COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS

TO THE JURY

Members of the Jury:

It is now my duty to instruct you on the rules of law that you must follow and apply in deciding this case. When I have finished you will go to the jury room and begin your discussions -- what we call your deliberations.

It will be your duty to decide whether the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt the specific facts necessary to find the Defendant guilty of the crime charged in the indictment.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 1

2.1

Duty to Follow Instructions  
Presumption of Innocence and Burden of Proof

You must make your decision only on the basis of the testimony and other evidence presented here during the trial; and you must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy or prejudice for or against the Defendant or the Government.

You must also follow the law as I explain it to you whether you agree with that law or not; and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You may not single out, or disregard, any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against any Defendant is not evidence of guilt. Indeed, the Defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a Defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The Government has the burden of proving a Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must find the Defendant not guilty.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

2.2

Duty to Follow Instructions  
Presumption of Innocence and Burden of Proof  
(When any Defendant Does Not Testify)

You must make your decision only on the basis of the testimony and other evidence presented here during the trial; and you must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy or prejudice for or against the Defendant or the Government.

You must also follow the law as I explain it to you whether you agree with that law or not; and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You may not single out, or disregard, any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against any Defendant is not evidence of guilt. Indeed, the Defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a Defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all; and if a Defendant elects not to testify, you should not consider that in any way during your deliberations. The Government has the burden of proving a Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must find the Defendant not guilty.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

3

Definition of Reasonable Doubt

Thus, while the Government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary that the Defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the Government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the Defendant's guilt.

A "reasonable doubt" is a real doubt, based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs. If you are convinced that the Defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so. If you are not convinced, say so.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 4

4.2

Evidence - Direct and Circumstantial

Argument of Counsel

As stated earlier you must consider only the evidence that I have admitted in the case. The term "evidence" includes the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in the record. Remember that anything the lawyers say is not evidence in the case. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you. Also, you should not assume from anything I may have said that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in this case.

Except for my instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own decision concerning the facts.

In considering the evidence you may make deductions and reach conclusions which reason and common sense lead you to make; and you should not be concerned about whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial. "Direct evidence" is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eye witness. "Circumstantial evidence" is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances indicating that the Defendant is either guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

5

Credibility of Witnesses

Now, in saying that you must consider all of the evidence, I do not mean that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate. You should decide whether you believe what each witness had to say, and how important that testimony was. In making that decision you may believe or disbelieve any witness, in whole or in part. Also, the number of witnesses testifying concerning any particular dispute is not controlling. You may decide that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses concerning any fact in dispute is more believable than the testimony of a larger number of witnesses to the contrary.

In deciding whether you believe or do not believe any witness I suggest that you ask yourself a few questions: Did the person impress you as one who was telling the truth? Did he or she have any particular reason not to tell the truth? Did he or she have a personal interest in the outcome of the case? Did the witness seem to have a good memory? Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to observe accurately the things he or she testified about? Did he or she appear to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly? Did the witness's testimony differ from the testimony of other witnesses?

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 6

6.4

Impeachment  
Inconsistent Statement  
(Defendant Testifies With Felony Conviction)

You should also ask yourself whether there was evidence tending to prove that the witness testified falsely concerning some important fact; or, whether there was evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something, or failed to say or do something, which was different from the testimony he or she gave before you during the trial.

You should keep in mind, of course, that a simple mistake by a witness does not necessarily mean that the witness was not telling the truth as he or she remembers it, because people naturally tend to forget some things or remember other things inaccurately. So, if a witness has made a misstatement, you need to consider whether that misstatement was simply an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood; and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or with only an unimportant detail.

A Defendant has a right not to testify. If a Defendant does testify, however, you should decide in the same way as that of any other witness whether you believe his testimony. [Evidence of a Defendant's previous conviction of a crime is to be considered by you only in deciding whether you believe or disbelieve the Defendant as a witness and must never be considered as evidence of guilt of the crime(s) for which the Defendant is on trial.]



GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7

7

Expert Witnesses

When knowledge of a technical subject matter might be helpful to the jury, a person having special training or experience in that technical field - - one who is called an expert witness - - is permitted to state his or her opinion concerning those technical matters.

Merely because an expert witness has expressed an opinion, however, does not mean that you must accept that opinion. The same as with any other witness, it is up to you to decide whether to rely upon it.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 8

9.1

On or About - - Knowingly - - Willfully

You will note that the indictment charges that the offense was committed "on or about" a certain date. The Government does not have to prove with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

The word "knowingly," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of mistake or accident.

The word "willfully," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was committed voluntarily and purposely, with the specific intent to do something the law forbids; that is with bad purpose either to disobey or disregard the law.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 9

10.1

Caution - - Punishment  
(Single Defendant - - Single Count)

I caution you, members of the Jury, that you are here to determine from the evidence in this case whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The Defendant is on trial only for the specific offense alleged in the indictment.

Also, the question of punishment should never be considered by the jury in any way in deciding the case. If the Defendant is convicted the matter of punishment is for the Judge alone to determine later.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

11

Duty to Deliberate

Any verdict you reach in the jury room, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. In other words, to return a verdict you must all agree. Your deliberations will be secret; you will never have to explain your verdict to anyone.

It is your duty as jurors to discuss the case with one another in an effort to reach agreement if you can do so. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after full consideration of the evidence with the other members of the jury. While you are discussing the case do not hesitate to re-examine your own opinion and change your mind if you become convinced that you were wrong. But do not give up your honest beliefs solely because the others think differently or merely to get the case over with.

Remember, that in a very real way you are judges - - judges of the facts. Your only interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

12

Verdict

When you go to the jury room you should first select one of your members to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will speak for you here in court.

A verdict form as been prepared for your convenience.

[Explain verdict]

You will take the verdict form to the jury room and when you have reached unanimous agreement you will have your foreperson fill in the verdict form, date and sign it, and then return to the courtroom.

If you should desire to communicate with me at any time, please write down your message or question and pass the note to the marshal who will bring it to my attention. I will then respond as promptly as possible, either in writing or by having you returned to the courtroom so that I can address you orally. I caution you, however, with regard to any message or question you might send, that you should not tell me your numerical division at the time.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

2.2

Confession - - Statement

(Single Defendant)

When the government offers testimony or evidence that a Defendant made a statement or admission to someone, after being arrested or detained, the jury should consider the evidence concerning such a statement with caution and great care.

It is for you to decide (1) whether the Defendant made the statement and (2) if so, how much weight to give to it. In making those decisions you should consider all of the evidence about the statement, including the circumstances under which the Defendant may have made it.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13

4

Similar Acts Evidence  
(Rule 404(B), F.R.E.)

During the course of the trial, as you know from the instructions I gave you then, you heard evidence of acts of the Defendant which may be similar to those charged in the indictment, but which were committed on other occasions. You must not consider any of this evidence in deciding if the Defendant committed the acts charged in the indictment. However, you may consider this evidence for other, very limited, purposes.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt from other evidence in this case that the Defendant did commit the acts charged in the indictment, then you may consider evidence of the similar acts allegedly committed on other occasions to determine:

- |         |  |
|---------|--|
| First:  | Whether the Defendant had the state of mind or intent necessary to commit the crime charged in the indictment; |
| Second: | Whether the Defendant acted according to a plan or in preparation for commission of a crime;                   |
| Third:  | Whether the Defendant committed the acts for which the Defendant is on trial by accident or mistake.           |

GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

5

Notetaking

In this case you have been permitted to take notes during the course of the trial, and most of you-perhaps all of you-have taken advantage of that opportunity and have made notes from time to time.

You will have your notes available to you during your deliberations, but you should make use of them only as an aid to your memory. In other words, you should not give your notes any precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence or the lack of evidence; and neither should you be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

I emphasize that notes are not entitled to any greater weight than the memory or impression of each juror as to what the testimony may have been.



GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 16

PASSPORT FRAUD

18 USC 1542

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1542, makes it a Federal crime or offense for anyone to willfully and knowingly make any false statement in an application for passport with intent to induce or secure the issuance of a passport under the authority of the United States, for his own use, contrary to the laws regulating the issuance of passports or the rules prescribed pursuant to such laws.

The Defendant can be found guilty of that offense only if all of the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

- First: The defendant knowingly and willfully made a false statement in a passport application; and
- Second: The defendant did so with the intent to induce and secure the issuance of a passport under authority of the United States for his own use; and
- Third: The issuance of such passport was contrary to the laws regulating the issuance of passports or the rules prescribed pursuant to such laws.

United States v. O'Bryant, 775 F.2d 1528 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).

**NO 11<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT PATTERN JURY INSTRUCTION EXISTS FOR THIS CHARGE.**

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>2:06-CR-218-MHT</b>
	)	
<b>GEORGE HOEY MORRIS</b>	)	
<b>aka JOHNNY RAY FORTUNE</b>	)	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 23, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Jon C. Taylor.

Respectfully submitted,

LEURA GARRETT CANARY  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Susan R. Redmond  
SUSAN R. REDMOND  
Assistant United States Attorney  
One Court Square, Suite 201  
Montgomery, Alabama 36104  
Telephone: (334) 223-7280  
Fax: (334) 223-7135  
susan.redmond@usdoj.gov